arXiv:astro-ph/9302018v1 25 Feb 1993

February 1, 2008

CONSTRAINTS ON THE COSMIC RAYS IN THE SMALL MAGELLANIC CLOUD

Arnon Dar[⋆], Ari Laor and Abraham Loeb

School Of Natural Sciences, The Institute For
Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540 USA

ABSTRACT

We show that recent γ-ray observations of the Small Magellanic Cloud with EGRET rule out a universal cosmic ray flux only at energies below ≈ 10 GeV, while the observed diffuse X-ray and γ-ray background radiations have already ruled out, by more than three orders of magnitude, a universal extragalactic cosmic ray flux identical to that observed in the local solar neighborhood at energies below 10^6 GeV.

Submitted to *Physical Review Letters - Comments*

[⋆] **Permanent Address: Technion, Israel Inst. of Tech., Haifa 32000 ISRAEL**

In a recent letter[1] Sreekumar et al. reported an upper limit (95% confidence) of $\mathrm{F}_\gamma(\mathrm{E} > 100\ \mathrm{MeV}) < 0.5 \times 10^{-7}$ photons $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ for the high energy γ-ray flux from the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC), while the expected flux that was calculated by Sreekumar and Fichtel[2], assuming that the cosmic ray flux is universal and identical to that observed in the local solar neighborhood, is $\mathrm{F}_\gamma(\mathrm{E} > 100\ \mathrm{MeV}) \approx 2.4 \times 10^{-7}$ photons $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. From this discrepancy Sreekumar et al. concluded[1] that the bulk of the cosmic ray energy density is not universal and must be galactic in origin.

In this comment we show that the observations of Sreekumar et al. rule out a universal cosmic ray flux only at energies below ≈ 10 GeV, while the observed diffuse X-ray and γ-ray background radiations have already ruled out (by more than three orders of magnitude) a universal extragalactic cosmic ray flux identical to that observed in the local solar neighborhood at energies below 10^6 GeV.

The production of high energy γ-rays by cosmic rays in the SMC is dominated by the reaction $pp \to \pi^0 X \to 2\gamma X$ of cosmic ray protons on interstellar hydrogen, by bremsstrahlung from cosmic ray electrons in the interstellar gas and by inverse Compton scattering of cosmic ray electrons on infrared, visible and ultraviolet SMC photons. We limit our discussion to these three processes.

The product of the production cross section and π^0 multiplicity beyond threshold (at 279.6 MeV) is a slowly increasing function of incident energy. Folding this energy dependence with the differential cosmic ray power law spectrum, $\mathrm{dF_p/dE} \sim \mathrm{E}^{-2.75}$, one finds[3] that the bulk ($> 90\%$) of the π^0's and γ-rays with $\mathrm{E}_\gamma > 100$ MeV are produced by cosmic ray protons of energy below 10 GeV.

The γ-ray flux from the SMC due to bremsstrahlung from cosmic ray electrons in the interstellar gas is proportional to[4] $\mathrm{F_e}(> \mathrm{E}_\gamma)/\mathrm{E}_\gamma$, where $\mathrm{F_e}(> \mathrm{E}_\gamma)$ is the integral flux of electrons with energy above E_γ. If the high energy cosmic ray electron flux is universal and identical to its flux in the local solar neighborhood[5], $\mathrm{dF_e/dE} \approx 1.16 \times 10^{-2}(\mathrm{E/GeV})^{-2.6}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}\mathrm{sr}^{-1}\mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$, then less than 2% of the γ-rays with $\mathrm{E}_\gamma > 100$ MeV are due to bremsstrahlung from electrons with

$E_e > 10$ GeV .

The average energy of photons, whose initial average energy is $\langle\epsilon\rangle$, that are Compton boosted by the high energy cosmic ray electrons ($\gamma \equiv E_e/m_e c^2 \gg 1$) is given by $\langle E_\gamma\rangle \approx (4/3)\gamma^2\langle\epsilon\rangle$ and their differential spectrum is proportional to $E_\gamma^{-(2.6+1)/2} = E_\gamma^{-1.8}$. For the SMC emitted photons $\langle\epsilon\rangle \approx$1 eV. Thus, less than 3% of the γ-rays with $E_\gamma >$100 MeV from the SMC are expected to be produced by cosmic ray electrons with energy larger than 10 GeV.

Therefore, we conclude that the EGRET observations of the SMC rule out a universal cosmic ray flux only at energies below 10 GeV.

But, if the high energy cosmic ray electrons were universal with a density $dn_e/d\gamma \approx C\gamma^{-p}$, they would have produced diffuse X-ray and γ-ray cosmic backgrounds by Compton boosting of microwave background photons in the intergalactic space to X-ray and γ-ray energies[6], with a flux[7]

$$\frac{dF_\gamma}{dE} \approx \frac{\sigma_T t_0 C}{ch^3}\frac{2^{p+1}3(p^2+4p+11)}{(p+3)^2(p+5)(p+1)}\Gamma\left(\frac{p+5}{2}\right)\zeta\left(\frac{p+5}{2}\right)(kT)^{(p+5)/2}E^{-(p+1)/2} , \tag{1}$$

where $\sigma_T = 6.5\times10^{-25}\mathrm{cm}^2$ is the Thomson cross section, t_0 is the age of the Universe, T≈2.736K is the temperature of the microwave photons and ζ denotes the Riemann zeta function. For cosmic ray electrons in the local solar neighborhood[5] $p \approx 2.6$ and $C \approx 9\times10^{-7}\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. If this flux was universal it would have produced diffuse X-ray and γ-ray backgrounds with a flux

$$\frac{dF_\gamma}{dE} \approx 3.3\times10^4\left[\frac{t_0}{10\ \mathrm{Gy}}\right]\left[\frac{E}{\mathrm{keV}}\right]^{-1.8}\ \mathrm{cm^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}keV^{-1}}, \tag{2}$$

which is larger by more than three orders of magnitude (!) than the observed flux of the X-ray[8] and γ-ray[9] background radiations. These estimates do not change significantly when redshift effects are taken into consideration.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank J.N. Bahcall for useful comments.

REFERENCES

1. P. Sreekumar et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 127 (1993).
2. P. Sreekumar and C.E. Fichtel, Astron. Astrophys. **251**, 447, (1991).
3. S.A. Stephens and G.D. Badhwar, Astrophys. & Space Sci. **76**, 213 (1981).
4. F.W. Stecker, in "Origins of Cosmic Rays" (eds. J.L. Osborne and A.W. Wolfendale), p. 267 (Reidel, Dordrecht 1975).
5. K.R. Lang, "Astrophysical Formulae" (Springer Verlag 1980), p. 471.
6. J.E. Felten and P. Morrison, Ap. J. **146**, 686 (1966).
7. G.B. Rybicki and A.P. Lightman, "Radiative Processes In Astrophysics" (John Wiley 1979) p. 208.
8. R. Giacconi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **9**, 439 (1962). For a recent review see A.C. Fabian and X. Barcons, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **30**, 429 (1992).
9. C.E. Fichtel et al., Ap. J. **222**, 833 (1978).